

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/691,392	10	0/17/2000	Ronald A. Katz	244/068	3722	
29129	7590	07/06/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ROCCO L. ADORNATO				MCCLELLAN, JAMES S		
C/O WEST	CORPORA	TION				
11808 MIRA	ACLE HILI	LS DR.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MAIL STOR	P: W11-LE	GAL		3627		
OMAHA, N	NE 68135			DATE MAILED: 07/06/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	all
Advisory Action	09/691,392	KATZ ET AL.	Acs
,	Examiner	Art Unit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	James S McClellan	3627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 13 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application and single of the contract	ation. A proper reply to a	in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing is FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See I R 1.136(a) and the appropriate ount of the fee. The appropriate originally set in the final Office	MPEP e extension te extension e action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplify	ing the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed ame	ndment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi e Continuation Sheet.	dered but does NOT pla	ce the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were nev	vly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			n
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	· · · · ·		
		James S McClellan Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3627	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's after final arguments are not persuasive. Regarding Fano, Applicant's citations from '513 fail to explicitly support all the limitations of claims 205 and 207. Therefore, the limitations regarding communicating geographic data in "real-time" are not granted priority from the CIP of '513. The claims are not clearly placed in condition for allowance. Therefore the claims remain finally rejected.

Continuation of 10. Other: It is noted that all pending claims (21-23, 36, 39, 40, 42-50, 68-71, 75, and 198-207) are currently rejected.